

KONFERENSIYALAR COM

ANJUMANLAR PLATFORMASI

**VIII RESPUBLIKA ILMIY-
AMALIY KONFERENSIYASI**

**YANGI DAVR ILM-
FANI: INSON UCHUN
INNOVATSION G'OYA
VA YECHIMLAR**

APREL, 2026

ISSN 3093-8791

ELEKTRON NASHR:

<https://konferensiyalar.com>





ANJUMANLAR PLATFORMASI

YANGI DAVR ILM-FANI: INSON UCHUN INNOVATSION G'OYA VA YECHIMLAR

**VIII RESPUBLIKA ILMIY-AMALIY
KONFERENSIYASI MATERIALLARI**

2026-yil, aprel

TOSHKENT-2026

Yangi davr ilm-fani: inson uchun innovatsion g'oya va yechimlar.
VIII Respublika ilmiy-amaliy konferensiyasi materiallari to'plami.
2-jild, 8-son (aprel, 2026-yil).– 150 bet.

Mazkur nashr ommaviy axborot vositasi sifatida 2025-yil, 8-iyulda
C-5669862 son bilan rasman davlat ro'yaxatidan o'tkazilgan.

Elektron nashr: <https://konferensiyalar.com>

ISSN: 3093-8791 (onlayn)

Konferensiya tashkilotchisi: "Scienceproblems Team" MChJ

Konferensiya o'tkazilgan sana: 2026-yil, 24-aprel

Mas'ul muharrir:

Isanova Feruza Tulqinovna

Annotatsiya

Mazkur to'plamda "Yangi davr ilm-fani: inson uchun innovatsion g'oya va yechimlar" mavzusidagi VIII Respublika ilmiy-amaliy konferensiyasi materiallari jamlangan. Nashrda respublikaning turli oliy ta'lim muassasalari, ilmiy markazlari va amaliyotchi mutaxassislari tomonidan tayyorlangan maqolalar o'rin olgan bo'lib, ular ijtimoiy-gumanitar, tabiiy, texnik va yuridik fanlarning dolzarb muammolari va ularning innovatsion yechimlariga bag'ishlangan.

Ushbu nashr ilmiy izlanuvchilar, oliy ta'lim o'qituvchilari, doktorantlar va soha mutaxassislari uchun foydali qo'llanma bo'lib xizmat qiladi.

Kalit so'zlar: ilmiy-amaliy konferensiya, innovatsion yondashuv, zamonaviy fan, fanlararo integratsiya, ilmiy-tadqiqot, nazariya va amaliyot, ilmiy hamkorlik.

Barcha huquqlar himoyalangan.

© Scienceproblems team, 2026-yil

© Mualliflar jamoasi, 2026-yil

MUNDARIJA

FIZIKA-MATEMATIKA FANLARI

Valijonova Shaxzoda

n-TARTIBLI CHIZIQLI O'ZGARMAS KOEFFITSIYENTLI BIR JINSLI BO'LMAGAN
DIFFERENSIAL TENGLAMALARNI ANIQMAS KOEFFITSIYENTLAR USULIDA YECHISH 6-9

Mullaboev Omonboy, Bo'riyev Yusufjon

KO'P TILLI PARALLEL KORPUS ARXITEKTURASI VA GAPLARNI AVTOMATIK
MOSLASHTIRISH ALGORITMI 10-15

Soyipov Jasurali, G'aniyeva Maxfuza

FIZIKADAN MASALALAR YECHISH ORQALI O'QUVCHILARDA
TADQIQOTCHILIK KO'NIKMALARINI RIVOJLANTIRISHDA PISA
TADQIQOTLARINING AHAMIYATI 16-19

IQTISODIYOT FANLARI

G'ofurov Rashidjon, Nishonov Akmalxon

KICHIK BIZNES SUB'EKTLARI TOMONIDAN TO'LANADIGAN SOLIQLAR VA
MAJBURIY TO'LOVLAR HISOBINING NAZARIY VA AMALIY ASOSLARI 20-24

FALSAFA FANLARI

Парниева Айгуль

ФИЛОСОФИЯ ХУДОЖЕСТВЕННОЙ ЛИТЕРАТУРЫ КАК ПРОСТРАНСТВО
СМОЛООБРАЗОВАНИЯ И ФАКТОР ФОРМИРОВАНИЯ КОМПЕТЕНЦИЙ ХХІ ВЕКА И
СОЦИАЛЬНОЙ ЗРЕЛОСТИ СТУДЕНЧЕСКОЙ МОЛОДЕЖИ 25-30

FILOLOGIYA FANLARI

Tursunova Farangiz

TURKIY TILLARDA YUZ IFODASI VA ULARNING O'XSHASHLIK VA FARQLI
JIHATLARI 31-33

Jo'rayeva Nozimaxon

O'ZBEK TILIDAGI OLMOSHLARNING KO'P MA'NOLILIGI: SEMANTIK
KENGLIK VA KONTEKSTUAL O'ZGARUVCHANLIK 34-38

Inatova Jasmina

ARAB YOZUVIDAN LOTINGA O'TISH JARAYONI VA MUAMMOLARI 39-42

Mavjudboyeva Mardona

DOSTONLARDA TUSH MOTIVINING O'RNI 43-47

Tilaboyeva Musobar, Xusniddinxonova Mahzuma

GEORGE ORWELL ASARLARIDA TOTALITAR JAMIYAT TANQIDI ZAMONAVIY
KONTEKSTDA 48-50

Xamidova Go'zal

ZADIE SMITH ASARLARIDA ZAMONAVIY JAMIYAT TASVIRI 51-53

Adasheva Durdona

FEMINIZM ZAMONAVIY INGLIZ ADABIYOTIDA QANDAY AKS ETADI 54-57

<i>Erkinov Ma'murjon</i> TARIXIY ROMAN: QIYOSIY-NAZARIY TAHLIL (INGLIZ VA O'ZBEK ADABIYOTI MISOLIDA)	58-62
<i>Zokirjonova Madina</i> COLLOQUIAL LANGUAGE IN "DOWN AND OUT IN PARIS AND LONDON" A REFLECTION OF CLASS AND IDENTITY	63-65
<i>Azimova Anisa</i> KINETIC SIGNS AND EMOTIONAL CONCEPTUALIZATION IN UZBEK AND ENGLISH DISCOURSE	66-71
<i>Isanova Feruza</i> O'ZBEK VA INGLIZ TILLARIDA XALQARO HUQUQ TERMINLARINING YASALISH USULLARI	72-77
YURIDIK FANLAR	
<i>Bakhromova Laylo</i> IMPROVING INTERNATIONAL ANTI-MONEY LAUNDERING STANDARDS: PRIORITY DIRECTIONS FOR IMPLEMENTATION AND PROPOSALS FOR ENHANCING EFFECTIVENESS	78-86
<i>Abdullayeva Sabohat</i> KRIPTOAKIVLAR ANONIMLIK XUSUSIYATINING HUQUQIY TABIATI: NAZARIY VA DOKTRINALI YONDASHUVLAR	87-90
<i>Muhammadjonova Gulasal</i> IJTIMOIY TARMOQLARDA SHAXSIY HAYOT DAXLSIZLIGINI TA'MINLASH MUAMMOLARI	91-93
PEDAGOGIKA FANLARI	
<i>Omonova Muxlisa</i> DEONTOLOGIYA TUSHUNCHASI VA UNING PEDAGOGIK MOHIYATI	94-96
<i>Tadjibayeva Gavxaroy, Nazarov Abdug'affor</i> EKOLOGIK MADANIYAT ASOSIDA SALOMATLIKNI SAQLASHGA YO'NALTIRILGAN MASHG'ULOTLAR METODIKASI	97-100
<i>Abdulborieva Mexruza</i> TIZIMLI TAHLIL VA STEM YONDASHUVLARI ASOSIDA IQTISODIY YO'NALISH TALABALARI ANALITIK HAMDA KREATIV FIKRLASHINI SHAKLLANTIRISH METODOLOGIYASI: AMALIY-METODIK MEXANIZMLAR	101-106
<i>G`ulomova Moxinur</i> BOSHLANG'ICH SINIF INGLIZ TILI DARSLARIDA NOODATIY TOPSHIRIQLAR	107-110
<i>Boboyeva Iroda, Olimjanova Jasmina</i> BOSHLANG'ICH SINFLARDA TA'LIM SAMARADORLIGINI OSHIRISHDA ZAMONAVIY PEDAGOGIK TEXNOLOGIYALARNING O'RNI	111-118
<i>Ismoilov Ravshan</i> BOSHLANG'ICH SINIF O'QUVCHILARINING AXBOROT MADANIYATINI SHAKLLANTIRISHDA RAQAMLI RESURSLARDAN FOYDALANISH IMKONIYATLARI	119-123
<i>Abduvaliyeva Farida</i> MULTIMEDIA VOSITALARINING TA'LIM JARAYONIDAGI DIDAKTIK JIHATLARI	124-127

<i>Эркинова Солохидинова Ранохон, Абдуазизова Вероника</i> МОТИВАЦИОННО ЦЕННОСТНЫЙ КОМПОНЕНТ РАЗВИТИЯ ИННОВАЦИОННОГО МЫШЛЕНИЯ СТУДЕНТОВ В ПРОЦЕССЕ ОБУЧЕНИЯ ИНОСТРАННОМУ ЯЗЫКУ	128-130
<i>Абдулбориева Мехруза</i> РАЗВИТИЕ КРЕАТИВНОГО МЫШЛЕНИЯ В ВУЗЕ СРЕДСТВАМИ ЦИФРОВЫХ ТЕХНОЛОГИЙ: МЕТОДИКА И РЕЗУЛЬТАТЫ ЭКСПЕРИМЕНТА	131-134
<i>Jalolova Shahnoza</i> THEORETICAL AND PRACTICAL PROBLEMS OF DISTANCE LEARNING AND FOREIGN LANGUAGE TEACHING	135-139
<i>Usmanova Nodira</i> USE OF NEW INNOVATIVE METHODS IN DEVELOPING PROFESSIONAL SKILLS OF STUDENTS	140-143
<i>Sodiqova Sevara</i> LINGUISTIC AND COMMUNICATIVE ASPECTS OF ENGLISH SPEAKING DEVELOPMENT THROUGH PROJECT-BASED LEARNING	144-149

YURIDIK FANLAR

IMPROVING INTERNATIONAL ANTI-MONEY LAUNDERING STANDARDS: PRIORITY DIRECTIONS FOR IMPLEMENTATION AND PROPOSALS FOR ENHANCING EFFECTIVENESS**Bakhromova Laylo Rayim kizi**

Researcher at the Law Enforcement Academy

E-mail: laylorayimovna@gmail.com

Annotation. This article examines the priority directions for the implementation of international anti-money laundering standards and the ways to enhance their effectiveness. The study demonstrates that the central problem of the current AML regime lies in the persistent gap between formal compliance with international standards and their actual practical effectiveness. The author argues that improving AML standards requires stronger risk-based supervision, beneficial ownership transparency, institutional capacity, digital monitoring, and international cooperation.

Keywords: anti-money laundering, AML standards, FATF, effectiveness, risk-based supervision, beneficial ownership, international cooperation, digital monitoring.

XALQARO PUL YUVISHGA QARSHI KURASHISH STANDARTLARINI TAKOMILLASHTIRISH: ULARNI AMALGA OSHIRISHNING USTUVOR YO'NALISHLARI VA SAMARADORLIGINI OSHIRISH BO'YICHA TAKLIFLAR**Baxromova Laylo Rayim qizi**

Huquqni muhofaza qilish akademiyasi tadqiqodchisi

Annotatsiy. Ushbu maqolada xalqaro pul yuvishga qarshi kurashish standartlarini amalga oshirishning ustuvor yo'nalishlari va ularning samaradorligini oshirish masalalari tahlil qilinadi. Tadqiqotda xalqaro AML tizimining asosiy muammosi sifatida formal muvofiqlik bilan amaliy samaradorlik o'rtasidagi tafovut ochib beriladi. Muallif AML standartlarini takomillashtirish uchun riskga asoslangan nazorat, beneficial ownership shaffofligi, institutsional salohiyat, raqamli monitoring va xalqaro hamkorlikni kuchaytirish zarurligini asoslaydi.

Kalit so'zlar: pul yuvishga qarshi kurashish, AML standartlari, FATF, samaradorlik, riskga asoslangan nazorat, beneficial ownership, xalqaro hamkorlik, raqamli monitoring.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47390/ydif-y2026v2i8/n17>**Introduction**

Money laundering has emerged as one of the most serious transnational threats in the contemporary world. Its significance extends far beyond the concealment of illegally obtained assets, because it is closely linked to corruption, organized crime, terrorist financing, and the financing of proliferation, all of which undermine public institutions, distort lawful markets, and weaken financial stability. The United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime treats transnational organized crime, illicit financial flows, and money laundering as deeply interconnected phenomena, while the International Monetary Fund emphasizes that such crimes can threaten both the integrity of the financial sector and a country's broader economic stability.

In recent years, the relevance of anti-money laundering regulation has become even broader. AML frameworks are now increasingly discussed not only in connection with financial crime in the narrow sense, but also in relation to sanctions evasion, beneficial ownership opacity, and cross-border abuse of legal entities and financial channels. This expansion shows that money laundering is no longer viewed merely as a technical criminal justice issue; it has become a matter of international financial security and systemic governance. The FATF, as the leading global standard-setter in this area, explicitly presents its standards as instruments to combat money laundering, terrorist financing, and proliferation financing, while continuously updating them in response to evolving risks.

For this reason, international AML standards have become an essential component of global financial governance. They now function as a common regulatory language through which states, supervisory bodies, financial institutions, and international organizations coordinate their responses to illicit finance. The FATF Recommendations are internationally endorsed standards that require countries to build legal, institutional, and operational systems capable of identifying, preventing, and disrupting money laundering and related threats. At the same time, the IMF has repeatedly underlined that effective AML/CFT frameworks are indispensable to financial integrity and to the soundness of the broader economic order.

However, the existence and global spread of international AML standards do not automatically guarantee their real effectiveness. A major problem of the modern AML regime is that, although the international framework has become highly developed, in many countries these standards continue to function largely on paper. States may formally adopt legislation, establish financial intelligence units, or introduce supervisory mechanisms, yet these formal steps do not necessarily produce strong investigations, successful confiscation of criminal assets, reliable interagency coordination, or effective prevention of illicit financial flows. The FATF itself stresses that standards must be implemented effectively and should not be treated as a mere tick-box exercise or as formal transposition into domestic law [1].

This leads to one of the central contradictions in the international AML system: the persistent gap between formal compliance and actual effectiveness. In other words, a country may appear compliant at the legislative or institutional level while remaining weak in practice when it comes to enforcement outcomes, operational capacity, or risk-based implementation. FATF evaluation procedures themselves distinguish between technical compliance and effectiveness, which clearly reflects the recognition that legal adoption alone is insufficient. As a result, the real question is no longer whether standards have been formally accepted, but whether they are capable of producing measurable and context-sensitive results in practice.

This problem is especially visible in developing countries. In such jurisdictions, implementation is often constrained by limited institutional capacity, shortage of trained personnel, weak technological infrastructure, insufficient data systems, and competing development priorities. World Bank materials on AML/CFT implementation show that many developing states face substantial practical difficulties in translating international standards into functioning domestic systems. Consequently, the challenge of AML reform in these countries is not simply normative alignment with global standards, but the creation of realistic, proportionate, and operationally effective implementation mechanisms.

Against this background, the issue of improving international anti-money laundering standards should be examined not only from the perspective of further norm-making, but also

through the lens of implementation priorities and effectiveness-oriented reform. The central task is to determine how international AML standards can move beyond formal diffusion and become genuinely effective instruments of financial integrity, criminal justice, and global governance across diverse legal and economic contexts.

Methods

This article applies a mixed legal-analytical methodology in order to assess how international anti-money laundering standards can be implemented more effectively and how their substantive impact can be improved. The methodological basis combines doctrinal legal analysis, comparative legal method, systematic analysis, institutional analysis, and critical analysis. Where relevant, the study also relies on qualitative content analysis of international reports, guidance documents, and evaluation materials. These methods are appropriate because the AML regime is not limited to legal rules alone; it also operates through institutional practice, supervisory techniques, inter-agency coordination, and cross-border cooperation [2].

The doctrinal legal method is used to examine the normative content and structure of the FATF Recommendations, interpretive notes, and related international instruments. This allows the article to identify the legal logic of AML obligations, the distinction between technical compliance and effectiveness, and the increasing importance of beneficial ownership, international cooperation, and risk-based supervision in the current standard-setting framework. The doctrinal approach is especially important because FATF standards operate as globally authoritative norms even though their formal legal status is often described as soft law.

The comparative legal method is used to evaluate how similar AML standards produce different outcomes across jurisdictions. This method is necessary because implementation depends on domestic legal traditions, state capacity, regulatory maturity, and the quality of enforcement institutions. Comparative analysis helps distinguish between mere formal transposition of international standards into legislation and their practical translation into supervision, investigation, prosecution, asset recovery, and preventive regulation. FATF mutual evaluation materials themselves support this distinction by assessing both formal compliance and real system performance.

The research also employs systematic and institutional analysis. A systematic approach is necessary because AML standards function as part of a broader architecture of global financial governance and domestic regulatory control. Institutional analysis is used to examine the interaction among financial intelligence units, supervisory authorities, law enforcement agencies, prosecutors, courts, tax bodies, customs authorities, and beneficial ownership registries. FATF and IMF materials both indicate that effectiveness depends not only on having rules, but also on whether domestic institutions can exchange information, allocate resources, conduct supervision, and respond to risks in a coordinated way.

In addition, the article uses critical analysis to assess structural weaknesses of the present AML regime. This method is applied to identify the persistent gap between formal compliance and actual effectiveness, especially in lower-capacity and developing jurisdictions. It is also used to evaluate whether universal international standards may, in some contexts, generate symbolic or mechanical compliance rather than meaningful preventive and enforcement outcomes. FATF guidance for low-capacity implementation and World Bank work on developing-country implementation challenges support the need for such a critical perspective [3].

Where relevant, the article incorporates qualitative content analysis of FATF mutual evaluations, FATF guidance papers, IMF financial integrity materials, World Bank publications on beneficial ownership and implementation, and UNODC resources on international cooperation and asset recovery. This method helps identify recurring themes, institutional bottlenecks, and practical reform priorities across official materials.

The analytical framework of the article is built around several interrelated evaluation criteria that make it possible to assess not only whether AML standards have been formally incorporated into domestic systems, but also whether they function effectively in practice. The first criterion, legal compliance, refers to the extent to which international AML standards are transposed into national legislation, regulations, and institutional mandates. This criterion is important because it shows whether a state has formally aligned its legal framework with international requirements. However, legal compliance alone cannot demonstrate whether the system is actually working, since countries may adopt laws on paper without ensuring their practical enforcement. FATF's methodology itself distinguishes between technical compliance and effectiveness, which confirms that formal legislative alignment is only one part of the overall assessment.

The second criterion, institutional capacity, focuses on whether the state has competent and adequately resourced bodies capable of implementing AML obligations. This includes the operational strength of financial intelligence units, supervisory authorities, law enforcement agencies, prosecutors, courts, and other relevant institutions. Even well-drafted legislation cannot produce meaningful results if these institutions lack trained staff, technological resources, coordination mechanisms, or operational independence. IMF materials on financial integrity likewise emphasize that AML/CFT systems depend on institutional capability and sustained capacity-building, especially where financial crime threatens the integrity and stability of the financial sector.

The third criterion, enforcement effectiveness, examines whether the AML system generates real outcomes, such as the use of financial intelligence, successful investigations, prosecutions, confiscations, and preventive interventions. This criterion is central to the article because the major weakness of many AML regimes lies in the gap between adopted rules and actual performance. FATF's methodology explicitly evaluates effectiveness through outcomes such as financial intelligence use, money laundering investigations and prosecutions, and asset recovery, showing that modern AML assessment increasingly prioritizes measurable performance rather than mere formal compliance[4].

The fourth criterion, beneficial ownership transparency, measures whether competent authorities can obtain adequate, accurate, and up-to-date information on the real persons who ultimately own or control legal persons and arrangements. This criterion is particularly important because shell companies, nominee arrangements, and opaque ownership structures remain among the most common tools for hiding illicit assets and concealing criminal proceeds. FATF's recent beneficial ownership guidance stresses that countries should ensure registry-based or equivalent mechanisms and should introduce verification measures so that beneficial ownership information is not only collected, but is also reliable and accessible in a timely manner.

The fifth criterion, international cooperation, assesses whether states can exchange information and assist one another quickly and effectively in cross-border AML matters. Since

money laundering is transnational by nature, domestic systems cannot function effectively in isolation. Mutual legal assistance, cross-border intelligence sharing, extradition, asset tracing, and cooperation between competent authorities are therefore essential parts of an effective AML architecture. FATF standards and guidance on international cooperation treat this criterion as a core requirement, not as an optional supplement to domestic enforcement.

The sixth criterion, digital monitoring capacity, refers to the ability of institutions and obliged entities to use technological tools for suspicious transaction monitoring, data analysis, risk detection, and the supervision of new financial channels, including virtual assets. This criterion is increasingly important because illicit finance is evolving alongside fintech, crypto-assets, and digital payment ecosystems. Traditional reporting models are often insufficient in such an environment, which is why technological modernization, data analytics, and digital monitoring tools are becoming essential to AML effectiveness[5]. IMF and FATF materials both reflect the growing connection between financial integrity and technological transformation.

The seventh criterion, risk-based supervision, evaluates whether supervisory authorities allocate attention and resources according to the level of risk rather than applying the same control model to all entities and sectors. FATF guidance on risk-based supervision makes clear that supervisors should focus resources where risks are highest and should avoid a purely tick-box approach. This criterion is especially relevant for sectors such as cross-border finance, DNFBPs, virtual asset service providers, and complex ownership structures, where a uniform approach is often ineffective. A risk-based model therefore strengthens proportionality, improves efficiency, and increases the practical impact of limited supervisory resources.

Taken together, these criteria provide a framework for assessing the transition from formal compliance to effectiveness-based implementation. They reflect the idea that the success of AML standards should not be measured simply by the adoption of laws, institutions, or strategies, but by whether those norms and structures produce real preventive and enforcement results. In this sense, the framework is grounded in the FATF methodology, FATF guidance on risk-based supervision and beneficial ownership, and broader institutional materials issued by the IMF, all of which support a more outcome-oriented understanding of AML implementation.

Accordingly, the central methodological premise of this article is that the quality of an AML system cannot be measured solely by the existence of laws or institutions on paper. The more meaningful test is whether the system produces real investigations, confiscations, prosecutions, preventive supervision, and measurable reductions in illicit financial vulnerability. For this reason, the article adopts an effectiveness-based analytical lens rather than a purely formal compliance-oriented one.

Results

The analytical framework of the article is built around several interrelated evaluation criteria that make it possible to assess not only whether AML standards have been formally incorporated into domestic systems, but also whether they function effectively in practice. The first criterion, legal compliance, refers to the extent to which international AML standards are transposed into national legislation, regulations, and institutional mandates. This criterion is important because it shows whether a state has formally aligned its legal framework with international requirements. However, legal compliance alone cannot demonstrate whether the

system is actually working, since countries may adopt laws on paper without ensuring their practical enforcement. FATF's methodology itself distinguishes between technical compliance and effectiveness, which confirms that formal legislative alignment is only one part of the overall assessment[6].

The second criterion, institutional capacity, focuses on whether the state has competent and adequately resourced bodies capable of implementing AML obligations. This includes the operational strength of financial intelligence units, supervisory authorities, law enforcement agencies, prosecutors, courts, and other relevant institutions. Even well-drafted legislation cannot produce meaningful results if these institutions lack trained staff, technological resources, coordination mechanisms, or operational independence. IMF materials on financial integrity likewise emphasize that AML/CFT systems depend on institutional capability and sustained capacity-building, especially where financial crime threatens the integrity and stability of the financial sector.

The third criterion, enforcement effectiveness, examines whether the AML system generates real outcomes, such as the use of financial intelligence, successful investigations, prosecutions, confiscations, and preventive interventions. This criterion is central to the article because the major weakness of many AML regimes lies in the gap between adopted rules and actual performance. FATF's methodology explicitly evaluates effectiveness through outcomes such as financial intelligence use, money laundering investigations and prosecutions, and asset recovery, showing that modern AML assessment increasingly prioritizes measurable performance rather than mere formal compliance[7].

The fourth criterion, beneficial ownership transparency, measures whether competent authorities can obtain adequate, accurate, and up-to-date information on the real persons who ultimately own or control legal persons and arrangements. This criterion is particularly important because shell companies, nominee arrangements, and opaque ownership structures remain among the most common tools for hiding illicit assets and concealing criminal proceeds. FATF's recent beneficial ownership guidance stresses that countries should ensure registry-based or equivalent mechanisms and should introduce verification measures so that beneficial ownership information is not only collected, but is also reliable and accessible in a timely manner.

The fifth criterion, international cooperation, assesses whether states can exchange information and assist one another quickly and effectively in cross-border AML matters. Since money laundering is transnational by nature, domestic systems cannot function effectively in isolation. Mutual legal assistance, cross-border intelligence sharing, extradition, asset tracing, and cooperation between competent authorities are therefore essential parts of an effective AML architecture. FATF standards and guidance on international cooperation treat this criterion as a core requirement, not as an optional supplement to domestic enforcement[8].

The sixth criterion, digital monitoring capacity, refers to the ability of institutions and obliged entities to use technological tools for suspicious transaction monitoring, data analysis, risk detection, and the supervision of new financial channels, including virtual assets. This criterion is increasingly important because illicit finance is evolving alongside fintech, crypto-assets, and digital payment ecosystems. Traditional reporting models are often insufficient in such an environment, which is why technological modernization, data analytics, and digital

monitoring tools are becoming essential to AML effectiveness. IMF and FATF materials both reflect the growing connection between financial integrity and technological transformation.

The seventh criterion, risk-based supervision, evaluates whether supervisory authorities allocate attention and resources according to the level of risk rather than applying the same control model to all entities and sectors. FATF guidance on risk-based supervision makes clear that supervisors should focus resources where risks are highest and should avoid a purely tick-box approach. This criterion is especially relevant for sectors such as cross-border finance, DNFBPs, virtual asset service providers, and complex ownership structures, where a uniform approach is often ineffective. A risk-based model therefore strengthens proportionality, improves efficiency, and increases the practical impact of limited supervisory resources[9].

Taken together, these criteria provide a framework for assessing the transition from formal compliance to effectiveness-based implementation. They reflect the idea that the success of AML standards should not be measured simply by the adoption of laws, institutions, or strategies, but by whether those norms and structures produce real preventive and enforcement results. In this sense, the framework is grounded in the FATF methodology, FATF guidance on risk-based supervision and beneficial ownership, and broader institutional materials issued by the IMF, all of which support a more outcome-oriented understanding of AML implementation.

Analyses

The first proposal is to develop clearer effectiveness indicators in FATF-style assessment processes. While the current methodology already distinguishes technical compliance from effectiveness, the results of this study suggest that measurable outcomes should receive even greater weight. In particular, indicators such as asset recovery rates, the verified quality of beneficial ownership data, the speed and usefulness of cross-border responses, and the demonstrated impact of risk-based supervision would make assessments more closely aligned with real operational performance. FATF's own emphasis on effectiveness and its published data on compliance trends support this direction[10].

The second proposal is to introduce differentiated implementation models. A single institutional template should not be imposed on all states regardless of capacity. Lower-capacity jurisdictions often face serious constraints in staffing, technology, and inter-agency infrastructure. FATF guidance for low-capacity countries and IMF capacity-development materials indicate the value of more flexible, phased, and sequenced implementation. Such a model should combine flexibility with accountability, so that countries are not excused from progress but are assessed against realistic and context-sensitive implementation pathways.

The third proposal is to strengthen the monitoring of beneficial ownership systems. FATF's revised standards on legal persons and legal arrangements already move in this direction by requiring stronger access to accurate and up-to-date ownership information and by highlighting verification mechanisms. The present analysis suggests that beneficial ownership registers should be mandatory or functionally equivalent mechanisms should be ensured, verification procedures should be strengthened, and sanctions for false declarations should be made more credible. Without robust monitoring and data integrity, beneficial ownership reforms risk becoming formal repositories rather than real transparency tools.

The fourth proposal is to improve the regulation of virtual assets and new financial technologies. FATF has already produced detailed guidance on VASPs and on the opportunities and challenges of new technologies for AML/CFT. Building on these materials, the article argues for a more explicit international approach to blockchain analytics, real-time monitoring tools, digital identity verification, and supervisory adaptation to crypto-related risk. This is necessary because traditional AML controls are often too slow or too fragmented for digital asset ecosystems and rapid fintech innovation [11].

The fifth proposal is to expand inter-agency and international data-sharing mechanisms. FATF standards already emphasize domestic coordination and international cooperation, but the present analysis indicates that much stronger operational integration is still required. FIUs, tax authorities, customs bodies, anti-corruption agencies, supervisory authorities, and law enforcement institutions should be connected through secure and lawful information-sharing mechanisms. At the international level, secure platforms, timely cooperation, and more structured regional intelligence collaboration would improve both preventive and investigative outcomes.

The sixth proposal is to reform the mutual evaluation methodology further so that it captures country context more accurately. Mutual evaluations are already in-depth assessments of implementation and effectiveness, but a stronger contextual lens would make them more analytically fair and more practically useful. The focus should be less on the existence of formal normative elements in isolation and more on whether domestic systems work in practice under the conditions actually faced by the jurisdiction concerned. Post-evaluation support mechanisms would also help transform evaluation from a largely diagnostic exercise into a more implementation-oriented process.

The seventh proposal is to link AML reforms more directly with anti-corruption and judicial reforms. UNODC and IMF materials both show that illicit financial flows, corruption, and financial integrity problems are structurally connected. For that reason, AML reform should not be treated as a self-contained technical regime [12]. Judicial independence, prosecutorial effectiveness, reliable public registries, and anti-corruption enforcement all affect whether AML standards can work in practice. A fragmented reform model is therefore less likely to succeed than an integrated integrity-based approach.

The eighth proposal is to build a more balanced relationship between state sovereignty and global compliance. FATF standards are globally influential, but their legitimacy and implementation quality can be weakened if they are perceived only as external pressure. A more participatory and context-sensitive norm-making process, with stronger regional voice and calibrated flexibility, would make implementation more sustainable without abandoning global minimum standards. This conclusion follows from the broader evidence that mechanical transplantation often produces symbolic compliance, whereas context-aware implementation is more likely to generate effective outcomes.

Discussion

The analysis shows that the improvement of international anti-money laundering standards should not be limited to the formal expansion of legal rules. The main challenge of the current AML regime lies in the persistent gap between technical compliance and actual effectiveness. In many jurisdictions, especially developing countries, AML standards are formally incorporated into domestic law but remain weak in practice because of limited

institutional capacity, insufficient beneficial ownership transparency, ineffective supervision, and weak cross-border cooperation.

The findings of this study demonstrate that a more effective AML system requires a stronger focus on measurable outcomes, including real investigations, prosecutions, confiscations, preventive supervision, and risk-sensitive regulatory action. In this regard, risk-based supervision, verified beneficial ownership information, institutional coordination, digital monitoring tools, and international cooperation should be treated as priority directions for implementation.

Overall, the article argues that the future development of AML standards depends on an effectiveness-centred approach that combines legal alignment with institutional resilience, technological modernization, and contextual adaptation. Only such an approach can ensure that international AML standards function not merely as formal global norms, but as genuinely effective instruments of financial integrity and transnational crime prevention.

Adabiyotlar/Literatura/References:

1. United Nations Convention against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances, 1988 // United Nations Treaty Collection.
2. Overview // UNODC. Money laundering.
3. United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime // UNODC.
4. Nanyun NM, Nasiri A (2021), "Role of FATF on financial systems of countries: successes and challenges". Journal of Money Laundering Control, Vol. 24 No. 2 pp. 234–245
5. History of the FATF // FATF.
6. The FATF Recommendations. Updated October 2025 // FATF.
7. Nance M. T. The regime that FATF built: an introduction to the Financial Action Task Force // Crime, Law and Social Change. 2018. Vol. 69. P. 109–129. DOI: 10.1007/s10611-017-9747-6.
8. Nance M. T. Re-thinking FATF: an experimentalist interpretation of the Financial Action Task Force // Crime, Law and Social Change. 2018. DOI: 10.1007/s10611-017-9748-5.
9. Le Nguyen C. The International Anti-Money Laundering Regime and Its Adoption by Vietnam // Asian Journal of International Law. 2014. Vol. 4, No. 1. P. 199–221.
10. Otudor L. E., Bagheri M. Legitimacy of power exercised by FATF under international law // Journal of Financial Crime. 2024. Vol. 31, No. 6. P. 1289–1301.
11. FATF Procedures for AML/CFT/CPF Mutual Evaluations, Follow-up and ICRG. April 2022 // FATF.
12. International Regulatory Co-operation: The Role of International Organisations in Fostering Better Rules of Globalisation. Paris: OECD Publishing, 2016. 448 p. DOI:10.1787/9789264244047-en. ISBN 978-92-64-26625-4
13. OECD. OECD Corporate Governance Factbook 2025. Paris: OECD Publishing, 2025.
14. FATF. Financial Action Task Force – 30 years. Paris: FATF/OECD, 2019.
15. United Nations Convention against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances, 1988 // United Nations Treaty Collection.
16. United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime // UNODC.
17. Jensen N., Png C. A. Implementation of the FATF 40+ 9 recommendations: a perspective from developing countries //Journal of Money Laundering Control. – 2011. – T. 14. – №. 2. – C. 110-120.
18. Chohan U. W. The FATF in the global financial architecture: challenges and implications. – 2019.иш

YANGI DAVR ILM-FANI: INSON UCHUN INNOVATSION G'OYA VA YECHIMLAR

VIII RESPUBLIKA ILMIY-AMALIY KONFERENSIYASI

MATERIALLARI

2026-yil, aprel

Mas'ul muharrir: *F.T.Isanova*
Texnik muharrir: *N.Bahodirova*
Diszayner: *I.Abdihakimov*

Yangi davr ilm-fani: inson uchun innovatsion g'oya va yechimlar.
VIII Respublika ilmiy-amaliy konferensiyasi materiallari to'plami.
2-jild, 8-son (aprel, 2026-yil). – 150 bet.

Mazkur nashr ommaviy axborot vositasi sifatida 2025-yil, 8-iyulda
C-5669862 son bilan rasman davlat ro'yaxatidan o'tkazilgan.

ISSN: 3093-8791 (onlayn)

Elektron nashr: <https://konferensiyalar.com>

Konferensiya tashkilotchisi: "Scienceproblems Team" MChJ

Konferensiya o'tkazilgan sana: 2026-yil, 24-aprel.

Barcha huquqlar himoyalangan.
© Science problems team, 2026-yil.
© Mualliflar jamoasi, 2026-yil.